

AUTOMATIC FORECASTING SYSTEMS

HATBORO PA 19040

215-675-0652

VERSION: 11/30/2010 13:47 AFS RULES

072 AluPE_Total AUTOBOX.EXE

Time=13:51:15

Date=11/30/2010

[Section 1](#)

[Section 2](#)

[Section 3](#)

[Section 4](#)

[Section 5](#)

[Section 6](#)

[Section 7](#)

[Graph of Actuals and Forecasts](#)

MODEL IDENTIFICATION

Analysis of Original Data

THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
1000
THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SERIES ALLOWED
30
THE SERIAL NUMBER IS:
10001
THE PRODUCT NUMBER IS:
6
AUTOBOX 6.01000 30 ABP10001 2 USER 2 10001

AUTOCORRELATION OF DEPENDENT SERIES.
AluPE_Total

VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
1	.416	3.06	{ *** } *	
.416	3.06		{ *** } *	
2	.384	2.43	{ *** } *	
.255	1.87		{ *** }	
3	.327	1.87	{ *** }	
.131	.97		{ ** }	
4	.188	1.01	{ *** }	
-.052	-.38		{ ** }	

5	.152	.81	{	***	}
-.012	-.09		{ * }		
6	.167	.88	{	***	}
.075	.55		{ ** }		
7	-.017	-.09	{	*	}
-.163	-1.20		{ *** }		
8	.096	.50	{	**	}
.099	.73		{ ** }		
9	.244	1.26	{	***	}
.281	2.07		{ *** }		
10	.073	.37	{	**	}
-.098	-.72		{ ** }		
11	.144	.72	{	**	}
-.010	-.07		{ *	}	
12	.276	1.36	{	****	}
.234	1.72		{ *** }		
13	.074	.35	{	**	}
-.136	-1.00		{ ** }		
14	.182	.87	{	***	}
.002	.01		{ *	}	
15	-.086	-.40	{	**	}
-.329	-2.42		{ *** }		
16	-.163	-.76	{	***	}
-.074	-.54		{ ** }		
17	-.130	-.60	{	**	}
-.032	-.24		{ *	}	
18	-.071	-.33	{	**	}
.058	.42		{ ** }		
19	-.150	-.69	{	***	}
.088	.65		{ ** }		

20	-.070	-.32	{	**	}
-.036	-.27		{	*	}
21	-.077	-.35	{	**	}
-.060	-.44		{	**	}
22	-.051	-.23	{	**	}
.021	.15		{	*	}
23	.079	.36	{	**	}
.058	.43		{	**	}
24	-.050	-.23	{	**	}
-.079	-.58		{	**	}

A HISTOGRAM OF THE
PREDICTAND: AluPE_Total

	LOWER	UPPER	CUM-PCT	#
IIII	.117E+06	.142E+06	.04	2.
IIIIIIIII	.142E+06	.166E+06	.09	3.
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	.166E+06	.190E+06	.20	6.
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	.190E+06	.215E+06	.35	8.

.215E+06	.239E+06	.50	8.
II			
.239E+06	.264E+06	.72	12.
II			
III			
.264E+06	.288E+06	.87	8.
II			
.288E+06	.313E+06	.93	3.
IIIIIIII			
.313E+06	.337E+06	.94	1.
.337E+06	.361E+06	.96	1.
.361E+06	.386E+06	1.00	2.
IIII			

THE AVERAGE IS =	235862.093
THE MEDIAN IS =	374957.000
THE STD DEV IS =	56781.661
THE MINIMUM IS =	117229.000
THE MAXIMUM IS =	385753.000
THE # OF OBS =	54

GRAPH KEY

.11723E+06	
.38575E+06	
DATE	
++++++	
++++++	

2006/ 5		A
.27097E+06		
2006/ 6		
A	.32573E+06	
2006/ 7		
A	.30908E+06	
2006/ 8		
A	.28988E+06	
2006/ 9		A
.23908E+06		
2006/ 10		
A	.28542E+06	
2006/ 11		A
.24411E+06		
2006/ 12		A
.20110E+06		
2007/ 1		A
.21570E+06		
2007/ 2		A
.26720E+06		
2007/ 3		A
.25985E+06		
2007/ 4		A
.21080E+06		
2007/ 5		
A	.28359E+06	
2007/ 6		A
.25758E+06		
2007/ 7		
A	.35565E+06	

2007/ 8	A
.27499E+06	
2007/ 9	A
.24686E+06	
2007/ 10	A
.25185E+06	
2007/ 11	A
.25155E+06	
2007/ 12	A
.15382E+06	
2008/ 1	A
.26893E+06	
2008/ 2	A
.25907E+06	
2008/ 3	A
.25620E+06	
2008/ 4	
A .38575E+06	
2008/ 5	
A	.29671E+06
2008/ 6	A
.24119E+06	
2008/ 7	
A .37496E+06	
2008/ 8	A
.26571E+06	
2008/ 9	
A	.28099E+06
2008/ 10	A
.19173E+06	

2008/ 11	A
.22298E+06	
2008/ 12 A	
.11723E+06	
2009/ 1	A
.24451E+06	
2009/ 2	A
.17629E+06	
2009/ 3	A
.16736E+06	
2009/ 4	A
.21735E+06	
2009/ 5	A
.16544E+06	
2009/ 6	A
.19349E+06	
2009/ 7	A
.17967E+06	
2009/ 8	A
.16893E+06	
2009/ 9	A
.24540E+06	
2009/ 10	A
.23410E+06	
2009/ 11	A
.17520E+06	
2009/ 12 A	
.12257E+06	
2010/ 1	A
.16109E+06	

2010/ 2	A
.20010E+06	
2010/ 3	A
.26225E+06	
2010/ 4	A
.19685E+06	
2010/ 5	A
.18317E+06	
2010/ 6	A
.23831E+06	
2010/ 7	A
.19892E+06	
2010/ 8	A
.22458E+06	
2010/ 9	A
.22085E+06	
2010/ 10	A
.20390E+06	

++++++
++++++

AUTOCORRELATION OF DEPENDENT SERIES.
AluPE_Total

VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
1	.416	3.06	{ *** } *	
.416	3.06		{ *** } *	
2	.384	2.43		{ *** } *
.255	1.87		{ *** }	
3	.327	1.87		{ *** }
.131	.97		{ ** }	
4	.188	1.01		{ *** }
-.052	-.38		{ ** }	
5	.152	.81		{ *** }
-.012	-.09		{ * }	
6	.167	.88		{ *** }
.075	.55		{ ** }	
7	-.017	-.09		{ * }
-.163	-1.20		{ *** }	
8	.096	.50		{ ** }
.099	.73		{ ** }	
9	.244	1.26		{ *** }
.281	2.07		{ *** }	
10	.073	.37		{ ** }
-.098	-.72		{ ** }	
11	.144	.72		{ ** }
-.010	-.07		{ * }	
12	.276	1.36		{ **** }
.234	1.72		{ *** }	
13	.074	.35		{ ** }
-.136	-1.00		{ ** }	

14	.182	.87	{	***	}
.002	.01		{ * }		
15	-.086	-.40		{ ** }	
-.329	-2.42		{ *** }		
16	-.163	-.76		{ *** }	
-.074	-.54		{ ** }		
17	-.130	-.60		{ ** }	
-.032	-.24		{ * }		
18	-.071	-.33		{ ** }	
.058	.42		{ ** }		
19	-.150	-.69		{ *** }	
.088	.65		{ ** }		
20	-.070	-.32		{ ** }	
-.036	-.27		{ * }		
21	-.077	-.35		{ ** }	
-.060	-.44		{ ** }		
22	-.051	-.23		{ ** }	
.021	.15		{ * }		
23	.079	.36		{ ** }	
.058	.43		{ ** }		
24	-.050	-.23		{ ** }	
-.079	-.58		{ ** }		

To do science is to search for repeated patterns.

To detect anomalies is to identify values that do not follow repeated patterns.

For whoever knows the ways of Nature will more easily notice her deviations and, on the other hand, whoever knows her deviations will more accurately describe her ways.

One learns the rules by observing when the current rules fail.

AUTOBOX WILL ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTION

Can you tell me the probability that a single data point (e.g. the latest reading) came from the distribution represented by all the previous data points?

A discussion of the history of time series. Early models much like the Holt-Winters models that include "fixed effects" (seasonal dummies and a trend) ignored autoprojective(ie memory) structure. These models did not incorporate level shifts and assumed one and only one trend and actually ignored outliers (ie pulse and seasonal pulses).

The work of Box-Jenkins essentially discarded the idea of depending on fixed effects and focused on how to use memory (previous values) to create an adequate model. In these ARIMA models, there was no provision for a mean that may have changed level other than for the user to introduce a pre-specified dummy variable.

In practice, both approaches are possible solutions and one has to evaluate alternative model structures before making a decision about optimality. The series we are going to be analyzing has structure that would suggest that fixed effects play a dominant role. The consistent low December effect suggests false Autoprojective structure at lag 12. The shift in level does not suggest a trend, but rather a deterministic structure called a "level shift".

The work of Box-Jenkins was extended in the early 1980's to explicitly identify the need for seasonal dummies providing then a clue to the deficiency of a pure memory based approach. The science of modeling then grew to provide this insight.

At this point AUTOBOX has tried and compared two different approaches:

MEMORY i.e. autoprojective models where previous values are used to predict "future values"

And then Interventions are identified and incorporated as needed

INTERVENTION variables i.e. Seasonal Pulses , Level Shifts , Pulses , Local Time Trends

Are identified (see swiss.ppt for details) and then memory is added to the model as needed.

In this case the second approach was found by experimentation (tournament) to be the better choice.

AUTOMATIC MODELLING IS PROCEEDING WITHOUT ANY PRE-SUGGESTED MODEL .

MODEL STAGE: 7 3EST 2

MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW) .

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP

In this case strategy 2 was found to be the BEST STRATEGY. So, AUTOBOX incorporated two predictor variables into the model.

A LEVEL SHIFT AT TIME PERIOD 30 thus X1 = 0 FROM I=1,19 AND X1 = 1 FOR PERIOD 30 THROUGH 58.

A SEASONAL PULSE AT TIME PERIOD 8 thus X2 = 0 AND X2 = 1 FOR 2006/12 , 2007/12 , 2008/12 , 2009/12

Following is the statistical characteristics of the residuals (54 in number) from the simple OLS model

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
51	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
.188213E-06	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.678839E+11	

Variance	=SOS / (n)
.186952E+15	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS / (n-m)
.133106E+10	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 36483.6	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 5108.73	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
.368413E-10	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 27189.0	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1137.41	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1143.38	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 949.910	
R Square	=
.602740	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	=[-A(T-
1)]**2/A**2 2.17724	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID. IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

We now present this initial model. Tests of necessity (t-value) are always presented.

THE INITIAL MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT		LAG
COEFF	STANDARD	P	T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	
	1CONSTANT		
.276E+06	.671E+04	.0000	41.17

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor #	1	0	-
.723E+05	.968E+04	.0000	-7.47

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor #	2	0	-
.913E+05	.184E+05	.0000	-4.95

MODEL STAGE: 16 4EST 3

MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE

X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE

X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
51	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
.191270E-06	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.678839E+11	
Variance	=SOS/(n)
.186952E+15	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS/(n-m)
.133106E+10	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 36483.6	

Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 5108.73	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
.374398E-10	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 27189.0	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1137.41	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1143.38	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 949.910	
R Square	=
.602740	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	= [-A(T-
1)] **2/A**2 2.17724	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

THE INITIAL MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD P	T
#		(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE

1CONSTANT
.276E+06 .671E+04 .0000 41.17

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
.723E+05 .968E+04 .0000 -7.47

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.913E+05 .184E+05 .0000 -4.95

MODEL STAGE: 1 1 DIAG

DIAGNOSTIC CHECKING which addresses the
SUFFICIENCY (COMPLETENESS) OF THE MODEL

The residuals appear to be free of significant auto-correlative structure

	LAG	ACF	STND.	T-	CHI-
SQUARE &	PACF	STND.	T-		
	VALUE	ERROR	RATIO		
PROBABILITY		VALUE	ERROR	RATIO	
	1	-.089	.136	-.65	.5
NA	-.089	.136	-.65		
	2	-.012	.137	-.09	.5
NA	-.020	.136	-.15		
	3	.136	.137	.99	1.6
NA	.134	.136	.99		
	4	-.155	.140	-1.11	3.0
.0826	-.135	.136	-.99		
	5	.011	.143	.08	3.0
.2207	-.009	.136	-.07		
	6	.010	.143	.07	3.0
.3873	-.010	.136	-.07		
	7	-.209	.143	-1.46	5.8
.2110	-.180	.136	-1.32		
	8	-.051	.148	-.34	6.0
.3047	-.108	.136	-.79		
	9	.253	.149	1.70	10.3
.1114	.260	.136	1.91		
	10	-.237	.157	-1.51	14.2
.0481	-.183	.136	-1.35		

	11	.036	.163	.22	14.3
.0750	−.031	.136	−.23		
	12	.010	.163	.06	14.3
.1129	−.057	.136	−.42		
	13	−.173	.163	−1.06	16.5
.0865	−.086	.136	−.64		
	14	.117	.167	.70	17.5
.0934	.002	.136	.01		
	15	−.242	.168	−1.44	22.1
.0367	−.282	.136	−2.07		
	16	−.103	.174	−.59	22.9
.0427	−.043	.136	−.32		
	17	.109	.176	.62	23.9
.0472	.031	.136	.22		
	18	−.064	.177	−.36	24.2
.0613	−.134	.136	−.98		
	19	−.092	.177	−.52	25.0
.0706	−.104	.136	−.76		
	20	.028	.178	.16	25.0
.0941	−.122	.136	−.90		
	21	−.015	.178	−.08	25.0
.1236	−.019	.136	−.14		
	22	−.042	.178	−.23	25.2
.1537	−.142	.136	−1.05		
	23	.224	.178	1.26	30.1
.0679	.056	.136	.41		
	24	−.138	.184	−.75	32.1
.0578	−.020	.136	−.15		

AUTOBOX WILL NOW ANALYZE THE RESIDUALS IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE SUFFICIENCY AND TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE MODEL IMPROVEMENTS.

DIAGNOSTIC CHECK #2:
THE SUFFICIENCY TEST

DIAGNOSTIC CHECK #2:
THE SUFFICIENCY TEST
The Critical Value used for
this test : 1.00

For the NOISE model :
ACF lags that are significant 9,
10,

WE WILL RE-EXAMINE THE NEED TO AUGMENT THE MODEL WITH PULSE INTERVENTIONS (A) .

An analysis of the residuals from this model suggest an unusual value at period 24 (2008/4)

AUTOBOX remedies this by adding a third dummy predictor variable

$x_{3t} = 0$ for period 1 to 58 and a 1 for period 24

MODEL STAGE: 451 5EST 4
MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable Y AluPE Total

X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4 PULSE : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
50	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
- .115159	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.554135E+11	

Variance	=SOS / (n)
.149616E+15	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS / (n-m)
.110827E+10	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 33290.7	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 4708.01	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
-.244602E-04	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 24583.7	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1128.45	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1136.41	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 959.771	
R Square	=
.675718	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	=[-A(T-
1)]**2/A**2 2.22970	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID. IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

An analysis of the residuals from this model suggest an unusual value at period 24 (2008/4)

AUTOBOX remedies this by adding a third dummy predictor variable

X3=0 for period 1 to 58 and a 1 for period 24

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

COEFF #	MODEL COMPONENT STANDARD ERROR	P VALUE	LAG T (BOP) VALUE
1CONSTANT .272E+06	.617E+04	.0000	44.09

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
.684E+05 .882E+04 .0000 -7.76

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.891E+05 .167E+05 .0000 -5.35

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
PULSE

4Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
.114E+06 .326E+05 .0010 3.49

6.757175289683226E-001 4

An analysis of the residuals from this model suggest an unusual value at period 27 (2008/7)

AUTOBOX remedies this by adding a third dummy predictor variable

X3=0 for period 1 to 58 and a 1 for period 24

MODEL STAGE: 452 6EST 5

MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

X1 : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL
X2 : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP
X3 : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
I~P00024 2008/ 4 PULSE
X4 : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
I~P00027 2008/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R) =n
54
Number of Degrees of Freedom =n-m
49
Residual Mean =Sum R / n
-.117867
Sum of Squares =Sum R**2
.444114E+11
Variance =SOS/(n)
.117512E+15
Adjusted Variance =SOS/(n-m)
.906355E+09
Standard Deviation RMSE =SQRT(Adj
Var) 30105.7

Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m)	4300.82
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
-.274058E-04	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n	22223.4
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1118.50	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn	1128.44
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153	968.504
R Square	=
.740102	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	= [-A(T-
1)] **2/A**2	2.12270

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT	P	LAG T (BOP)
COEFF #	STANDARD		
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	

1CONSTANT
.268E+06 .563E+04 .0000 47.62

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
.646E+05 .796E+04 .0000 -8.12

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.871E+05 .149E+05 .0000 -5.83

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
PULSE

4Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
.118E+06 .292E+05 .0002 4.03

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
PULSE

50mega (input) -Factor # 4 0
.107E+06 .292E+05 .0006 3.66

7.401024710381385E-001 5

An analysis of the residuals from this model suggest an unusual value at period 15 (2007/7)

AUTOBOX remedies this by adding a third dummy predictor variable

X3=0 for period 1 to 58 and a 1 for period 15

MODEL STAGE: 453 TEST 6
MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable Y AluPE_Total

X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP

	:	NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3	I~P00024	2008/ 4 PULSE
	:	NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X4	I~P00027	2008/ 7 PULSE
	:	NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X5	I~P00015	2007/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
48	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
-.109652	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.364330E+11	
Variance	=SOS/ (n)
.944344E+14	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS/ (n-m)
.759021E+09	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT (Adj
Var) 27550.3	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 3976.55	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
-.275747E-04	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 20442.5	

AIC Value (Uses var)	= $n \ln + 2m$
1109.81	
SBC Value (Uses var)	= $n \ln$
+ $m^* \ln n$	1121.74
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153	975.600
R Square	=
.786792	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	$= [-A(T -$
$1)]^{**2} / A^{**2}$	2.14364

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL	COMPONENT	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD	P	T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	

1CONSTANT
 .265E+06 .520E+04 .0000 50.88

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
 LEVEL

20Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
 .612E+05 .728E+04 .0000 -8.42

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
 SEASP

30Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
 .853E+05 .135E+05 .0000 -6.30

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
 PULSE

40Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
 .121E+06 .265E+05 .0000 4.58

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
 PULSE

50Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
 .110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
PULSE

6Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
.911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

7.867922044996182E-001 6
MODEL STAGE: 77 8EST 7
MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4 PULSE
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7 PULSE
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R) =n
54

Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
48	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
-.377255E-02	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.364330E+11	
Variance	=SOS/(n)
.944344E+14	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS/(n-m)
.759021E+09	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 27550.3	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 3976.55	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
-.948700E-06	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 20442.5	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1109.81	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1121.74	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 975.600	
R Square	=
.786792	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	=[-A(T-
1)]**2/A**2 2.14364	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT	P	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD		T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	

1CONSTANT			
.265E+06	.520E+04	.0000	50.88

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor #	1	0	-
.612E+05	.728E+04	.0000	-8.42

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.853E+05 .135E+05 .0000 -6.30

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
PULSE

4Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
.121E+06 .265E+05 .0000 4.58

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
PULSE

5Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
.110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
PULSE

6Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
.911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

MODEL STAGE: 7 9EST 8
MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

		: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X1	I~L00030	2008/ 10 LEVEL
		: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2	I~S00008	2006/ 12 SEASP
		: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3	I~P00024	2008/ 4 PULSE
		: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X4	I~P00027	2008/ 7 PULSE
		: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X5	I~P00015	2007/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
48	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
.178768E-02	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.364330E+11	
Variance	=SOS/ (n)
.944344E+14	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS/ (n-m)
.759021E+09	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 27550.3	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 3976.55	

Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
.449557E-06	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n	20442.5
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1109.81	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn	1121.74
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153	975.600
R Square	=
.786792	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	=[-A(T-
1)] **2/A**2	2.14364

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL	COMPONENT	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD	P	T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	
	1CONSTANT		
.265E+06	.520E+04	.0000	50.88
	INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10		
LEVEL			
	2Omega (input) -Factor #	1 0 -	
.612E+05	.728E+04	.0000	-8.42
	INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12		
SEASP			
	3Omega (input) -Factor #	2 0 -	
.853E+05	.135E+05	.0000	-6.30
	INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4		
PULSE			
	4Omega (input) -Factor #	3 0	
.121E+06	.265E+05	.0000	4.58
	INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7		
PULSE			

5Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
.110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
PULSE

6Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
.911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

MODEL STAGE: 16 10EST 9

MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4 PULSE
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7 PULSE
: NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R)	=n
54	
Number of Degrees of Freedom	=n-m
48	
Residual Mean	=Sum R / n
.615042E-04	
Sum of Squares	=Sum R**2
.364330E+11	
Variance	=SOS/(n)
.944344E+14	
Adjusted Variance	=SOS/(n-m)
.759021E+09	
Standard Deviation RMSE	=SQRT(Adj
Var) 27550.3	
Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 3976.55	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
.154667E-07	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 20442.5	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1109.81	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1121.74	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 975.600	
R Square	=
.786792	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	=[-A(T-
1)]**2/A**2 2.14364	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.

IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT	P	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD		T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	
1	CONSTANT		
.265E+06	.520E+04	.0000	50.88

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

20Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
.612E+05 .728E+04 .0000 -8.42

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

30Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.853E+05 .135E+05 .0000 -6.30

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
PULSE

40Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
.121E+06 .265E+05 .0000 4.58

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
PULSE

50Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
.110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
PULSE

60Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
.911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

MODEL STAGE: 2 2 DIAG

DIAGNOSTIC CHECKING which addresses the SUFFICIENCY (COMPLETENESS) OF THE MODEL

The residuals appear to be free of significant auto-correlative structure

	LAG	ACF	STND.	T-	CHI-
SQUARE &	PACF	STND.	T-		
	VALUE	ERROR	RATIO		
PROBABILITY		VALUE	ERROR	RATIO	
	1	-.072	.136	-.53	.3
NA	-.072	.136	-.53		
	2	.012	.137	.09	.3
NA	.007	.136	.05		
	3	.114	.137	.84	1.1
NA	.116	.136	.86		
	4	-.150	.139	-1.08	2.4
NA	-.136	.136	-1.00		
	5	.105	.142	.74	3.1
NA	.086	.136	.63		
	6	.074	.143	.52	3.5
NA	.079	.136	.58		
	7	-.289	.144	-2.01	8.9
.0029	-.267	.136	-1.96		
	8	.085	.154	.55	9.3
.0094	.022	.136	.16		

	9	-.006	.155	-.04	9.3
.0251	.029	.136	.21		
	10	-.151	.155	-.97	10.9
.0276	-.112	.136	-.83		
	11	.140	.158	.89	12.3
.0310	.048	.136	.36		
	12	-.106	.160	-.66	13.1
.0415	-.033	.136	-.24		
	13	-.141	.161	-.87	14.6
.0420	-.128	.136	-.94		
	14	.068	.164	.42	14.9
.0608	-.059	.136	-.44		
	15	-.097	.164	-.59	15.6
.0747	-.007	.136	-.05		
	16	-.124	.165	-.75	16.9
.0775	-.159	.136	-1.17		
	17	.081	.167	.49	17.4
.0966	-.015	.136	-.11		
	18	-.075	.168	-.45	17.9
.1196	.044	.136	.33		
	19	.050	.168	.30	18.1
.1541	.005	.136	.04		
	20	-.025	.168	-.15	18.1
.2002	-.145	.136	-1.06		
	21	-.039	.169	-.23	18.3
.2481	.042	.136	.31		
	22	.040	.169	.24	18.4
.2989	-.011	.136	-.08		
	23	.151	.169	.89	20.6
.2425	.082	.136	.60		

24	-.238	.171	-1.39	26.3
.0922	-.247	.136	-1.81	

AUTOBOX WILL NOW ANALYZE THE RESIDUALS IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE SUFFICIENCY AND TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE MODEL IMPROVEMENTS.

DIAGNOSTIC CHECK #2:
THE SUFFICIENCY TEST

DIAGNOSTIC CHECK #2:
THE SUFFICIENCY TEST
The Critical Value used for
this test : 1.00

For the NOISE model :
ACF lags that are significant 7,

MODEL STAGE: 31 11EST 1001
MODEL STATISTICS AND EQUATION FOR THE
CURRENT EQUATION (DETAILS FOLLOW).

Estimation/Diagnostic Checking for Variable
Y AluPE_Total

X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10 LEVEL : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12 SEASP : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4 PULSE : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7 PULSE : NEWLY IDENTIFIED VARIABLE
X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7 PULSE

Number of Residuals (R) =n
54
Number of Degrees of Freedom =n-m
48
Residual Mean =Sum R / n
.215257E-05
Sum of Squares =Sum R**2
.364330E+11
Variance =SOS/(n)
.944344E+14
Adjusted Variance =SOS/(n-m)
.759021E+09
Standard Deviation RMSE =SQRT(Adj
Var) 27550.3

Standard Error of the Mean	=Standard
Dev/ (n-m) 3976.55	
Mean / its Standard Error	=Mean/SEM
.541316E-09	
Mean Absolute Deviation	
=Sum(ABS(R))/n 20442.5	
AIC Value (Uses var)	=nln +2m
1109.81	
SBC Value (Uses var)	=nln
+m*lnn 1121.74	
BIC Value (Uses var)	=see Wei
p153 975.600	
R Square	=
.786792	
Durbin-Watson Statistic	= [-A(T-
1)] **2/A**2 2.14364	

D-W STATISTIC SUGGESTS NO SIGNIFICANT AUTOCORRELATION for lag1.

THE DURBIN-WATSON STATISTIC IS VALID ONLY FOR MODELS THAT HAVE A WHITE NOISE ERROR TERM AND NO LAGS OF THE Y SERIES. OTHERWISE IT IS INVALID.
IN THIS CASE THE TEST IS VALID.

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL COMPONENT	P	LAG T (BOP)
COEFF #	STANDARD		
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	

1CONSTANT
.265E+06 .520E+04 .0000 50.88

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
LEVEL

20Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
.612E+05 .728E+04 .0000 -8.42

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
SEASP

30Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
.853E+05 .135E+05 .0000 -6.30

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
PULSE

40Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
.121E+06 .265E+05 .0000 4.58

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
PULSE

5Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
.110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
PULSE

6Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
.911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

MODEL STAGE: 3 3 DIAG

	LAG	ACF	STND.	T-	CHI-
SQUARE &	PACF	STND.	T-		
	VALUE	ERROR	RATIO		
PROBABILITY	VALUE	ERROR	RATIO		
	1	-.072	.136	-.53	.3
NA	-.072	.136	-.53		
	2	.012	.137	.09	.3
NA	.007	.136	.05		
	3	.114	.137	.84	1.1
NA	.116	.136	.86		
	4	-.150	.139	-1.08	2.4
NA	-.136	.136	-1.00		

	5	.105	.142	.74	3.1
NA	.086	.136	.63		
	6	.074	.143	.52	3.5
NA	.079	.136	.58		
	7	-.289	.144	-2.01	8.9
.0029	-.267	.136	-1.96		
	8	.085	.154	.55	9.3
.0094	.022	.136	.16		
	9	-.006	.155	-.04	9.3
.0251	.029	.136	.21		
	10	-.151	.155	-.97	10.9
.0276	-.112	.136	-.83		
	11	.140	.158	.89	12.3
.0310	.048	.136	.36		
	12	-.106	.160	-.66	13.1
.0415	-.033	.136	-.24		
	13	-.141	.161	-.87	14.6
.0420	-.128	.136	-.94		
	14	.068	.164	.42	14.9
.0608	-.059	.136	-.44		
	15	-.097	.164	-.59	15.6
.0747	-.007	.136	-.05		
	16	-.124	.165	-.75	16.9
.0775	-.159	.136	-1.17		
	17	.081	.167	.49	17.4
.0966	-.015	.136	-.11		
	18	-.075	.168	-.45	17.9
.1196	.044	.136	.33		
	19	.050	.168	.30	18.1
.1541	.005	.136	.04		

	20	-.025	.168	-.15	18.1
.2002	-.145	.136	-1.06		
	21	-.039	.169	-.23	18.3
.2481	.042	.136	.31		
	22	.040	.169	.24	18.4
.2989	-.011	.136	-.08		
	23	.151	.169	.89	20.6
.2425	.082	.136	.60		
	24	-.238	.171	-1.39	26.3
.0922	-.247	.136	-1.81		

AUTOBOX WILL NOW ANALYZE THE RESIDUALS IN
 ORDER TO ASSESS THE SUFFICIENCY AND
 TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE MODEL IMPROVEMENTS.

DIAGNOSTIC CHECK #2:
 THE SUFFICIENCY TEST
 The Critical Value used for
 this test : 1.00

For the NOISE model :
 ACF lags that are significant 7,

AUTOMATICALLY REVISING MODEL

	MODEL	COMPONENT	LAG
COEFF	STANDARD	P	T
#			(BOP)
ERROR	VALUE	VALUE	

1CONSTANT
 .265E+06 .520E+04 .0000 50.88

INPUT SERIES X1 I~L00030 2008/ 10
 LEVEL

2Omega (input) -Factor # 1 0 -
 .612E+05 .728E+04 .0000 -8.42

INPUT SERIES X2 I~S00008 2006/ 12
 SEASP

3Omega (input) -Factor # 2 0 -
 .853E+05 .135E+05 .0000 -6.30

INPUT SERIES X3 I~P00024 2008/ 4
 PULSE

4Omega (input) -Factor # 3 0
 .121E+06 .265E+05 .0000 4.58

INPUT SERIES X4 I~P00027 2008/ 7
 PULSE

5Omega (input) -Factor # 4 0
 .110E+06 .265E+05 .0001 4.17

INPUT SERIES X5 I~P00015 2007/ 7
 PULSE

6Omega (input) -Factor # 5 0
 .911E+05 .265E+05 .0012 3.44

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(T) = & .26456E+06 \\
 & + [X1(T)] [(- 61245.)] \\
 & + [X2(T)] [(- 85252.)] \\
 & + [X3(T)] [(+ .12120E+06)] \\
 & + [X4(T)] [(+ .11040E+06)] \\
 & + [X5(T)] [(+ 91093.)] \\
 & + [A(T)]
 \end{aligned}$$

	LAG	ACF	STND.	T-	CHI-
SQUARE &		PACF	STND.	T-	
		VALUE	ERROR	RATIO	
PROBABILITY		VALUE	ERROR	RATIO	
NA	1	-.072	.136	-.53	.3
NA	-	.072	.136	-.53	
NA	2	.012	.137	.09	.3
NA	.007	.136	.05		
NA	3	.114	.137	.84	1.1
NA	.116	.136	.86		

	4	-.150	.139	-1.08	2.4
NA	-.136	.136	-1.00		
	5	.105	.142	.74	3.1
NA	.086	.136	.63		
	6	.074	.143	.52	3.5
NA	.079	.136	.58		
	7	-.289	.144	-2.01	8.9
.0029	-.267	.136	-1.96		
	8	.085	.154	.55	9.3
.0094	.022	.136	.16		
	9	-.006	.155	-.04	9.3
.0251	.029	.136	.21		
	10	-.151	.155	-.97	10.9
.0276	-.112	.136	-.83		
	11	.140	.158	.89	12.3
.0310	.048	.136	.36		
	12	-.106	.160	-.66	13.1
.0415	-.033	.136	-.24		
	13	-.141	.161	-.87	14.6
.0420	-.128	.136	-.94		
	14	.068	.164	.42	14.9
.0608	-.059	.136	-.44		
	15	-.097	.164	-.59	15.6
.0747	-.007	.136	-.05		
	16	-.124	.165	-.75	16.9
.0775	-.159	.136	-1.17		
	17	.081	.167	.49	17.4
.0966	-.015	.136	-.11		
	18	-.075	.168	-.45	17.9
.1196	.044	.136	.33		

	19	.050	.168	.30	18.1
.1541	.005	.136	.04		
	20	-.025	.168	-.15	18.1
.2002	-.145	.136	-1.06		
	21	-.039	.169	-.23	18.3
.2481	.042	.136	.31		
	22	.040	.169	.24	18.4
.2989	-.011	.136	-.08		
	23	.151	.169	.89	20.6
.2425	.082	.136	.60		
	24	-.238	.171	-1.39	26.3
.0922	-.247	.136	-1.81		

VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
VALUE	RATIO	-1	0	+1
1	-.072	-.53		{ * }
-.072	-.53		{ * }	
2	.012	.09		{ * }
.007	.05		{ * }	
3	.114	.84		{ * }
.116	.86		{ * }	
4	-.150	-1.08		* { * }
-.136	-1.00		{ * }	
5	.105	.74		{ * }
.086	.63		{ * }	
6	.074	.52		{ * }
.079	.58		{ * }	

7	-.289	-2.01	** { * }
-.267	-1.96		** { * }
8	.085	.55	{ ** }
.022	.16		{ * }
9	-.006	-.04	{ * }
.029	.21		{ * }
10	-.151	-.97	{ ** }
-.112	-.83		{ * }
11	.140	.89	{ ** }
.048	.36		{ * }
12	-.106	-.66	{ ** }
-.033	-.24		{ * }
13	-.141	-.87	{ ** }
-.128	-.94		{ * }
14	.068	.42	{ ** }
-.059	-.44		{ * }
15	-.097	-.59	{ ** }
-.007	-.05		{ * }
16	-.124	-.75	{ ** }
-.159	-1.17		* { * }
17	.081	.49	{ ** }
-.015	-.11		{ * }
18	-.075	-.45	{ ** }
.044	.33		{ * }
19	.050	.30	{ ** }
.005	.04		{ * }
20	-.025	-.15	{ * }
-.145	-1.06		{ * }
21	-.039	-.23	{ * }
.042	.31		{ * }

22	.040	.24	{ * }
-.011	-.08		{ * }
23	.151	.89	{ ** }
.082	.60		{ * }
24	-.238	-1.39	{ ** }
-.247	-1.81		* { * }

DIAGNOSTIC CHECKING which addresses the SUFFICIENCY (COMPLETENESS) OF THE MODEL

The residuals appear to be free of
significant auto-correlative structure

A HISTOGRAM OF THE MODEL RESIDUALS

LOWER	UPPER	CUM-PCT	#
-.538E+05	-.433E+05	.04	2.
-.433E+05	-.329E+05	.11	4.
I	I		
-.329E+05	-.224E+05	.22	6.
I	I		
-.224E+05	-.120E+05	.31	5.
I	I		

- .120E+05	- .152E+04	.48	9.
II			
- .152E+04	.893E+04	.67	10.
II			
III			
.893E+04	.194E+05	.76	5.
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			
.194E+05	.298E+05	.85	5.
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			
.298E+05	.403E+05	.91	3.
IIIIII			
.403E+05	.507E+05	.96	3.
IIIIII			
.507E+05	.612E+05	1.00	2.

THE AVERAGE IS =	.000
THE MEDIAN IS =	.000
THE MINIMUM IS =	-53758.512
THE MAXIMUM IS =	61175.488
THE # OF OBS =	54

PLOT OF THE FINAL MODEL RESIDUALS
GRAPH KEY

-53759.	
61175.	
DATE	
+++++	+++++
+++++	+++++

2006/ 5		A
6410.5		
2006/ 6		
A 61175.		
2006/ 7		
A 44521.		
2006/ 8		
A 25328.		
2006/ 9		A
-25474.		
2006/ 10		
A 20866.		
2006/ 11		A
-20446.		
2006/ 12		
A 21800.		
2007/ 1 A		
-48856.		
2007/ 2		A
2647.5		
2007/ 3		A
-4705.5		
2007/ 4 A		
-53759.		
2007/ 5		
A 19032.		
2007/ 6		A
-6971.5		
2007/ 7		A
-.10418E-06		

2007/ 8	A
10432.	
2007/ 9	A
-17697.	
2007/ 10	A
-12708.	
2007/ 11	A
-13010.	
2007/ 12	A
-25482.	
2008/ 1	A
4370.5	
2008/ 2	A
-5490.5	
2008/ 3	A
-8355.5	
2008/ 4	A
-.10418E-06	
2008/ 5	
A	32153.
2008/ 6	A
-23370.	
2008/ 7	A
-.10418E-06	
2008/ 8	A
1150.5	
2008/ 9	
A	16431.
2008/ 10	A
-11576.	

2008/ 11		
A	19666.	
2008/ 12		A
-829.64		
2009/ 1		
A	41201.	
2009/ 2		A
-27019.		
2009/ 3		A
-35955.		
2009/ 4		A
14037.		
2009/ 5		A
-37870.		
2009/ 6		A
-9818.3		
2009/ 7		A
-23636.		
2009/ 8		A
-34378.		
2009/ 9		
A	42091.	
2009/ 10		
A	30786.	
2009/ 11		A
-28109.		
2009/ 12		A
4511.4		
2010/ 1		A
-42222.		

2010/ 2	A
-3214.3	
2010/ 3	
A 58939.	
2010/ 4	A
-6463.3	
2010/ 5	A
-20145.	
2010/ 6	
A 35003.	
2010/ 7	A
-4389.3	
2010/ 8	
A 21270.	
2010/ 9	
A 17538.	
2010/ 10	A
587.71	

++++++
++++++

DIAGNOSTIC CHECKING which addresses the
SUFFICIENCY (COMPLETENESS) OF THE MODEL

The residuals appear to be free of
significant auto-correlative structure

FINAL FITTED VALUES

WE ARE FINISHED MODELLING AND NOW REPORT
THE FITTED VALUES AND RESIDUALS

TIME RESIDUAL (T)	DATE	H-WEIGHTS TO FORECAST (FIT)	%	ACTUAL STABILIZE	OBSERVATION ERROR
1	2006/ 5	1.00000		.27097E+06	
	.26456E+06	.641E+04	2.37		
2	2006/ 6	1.00000		.32573E+06	
	.26456E+06	.612E+05	18.78		
3	2006/ 7	1.00000		.30908E+06	
	.26456E+06	.445E+05	14.40		
4	2006/ 8	1.00000		.28988E+06	
	.26456E+06	.253E+05	8.74		
5	2006/ 9	1.00000		.23908E+06	
	.26456E+06	-.255E+05	-10.65		
6	2006/ 10	1.00000		.28542E+06	
	.26456E+06	.209E+05	7.31		
7	2006/ 11	1.00000		.24411E+06	
	.26456E+06	-.204E+05	-8.38		
8	2006/ 12	1.00000		.20110E+06	
	.17930E+06	.218E+05	10.84		
9	2007/ 1	1.00000		.21570E+06	
	.26456E+06	-.489E+05	-22.65		
10	2007/ 2	1.00000		.26720E+06	
	.26456E+06	.265E+04	.99		

11	2007/	3	1.00000	.25985E+06
.26456E+06	-.471E+04	-1.81		
12	2007/	4	1.00000	.21080E+06
.26456E+06	-.538E+05	-25.50		
13	2007/	5	1.00000	.28359E+06
.26456E+06	.190E+05	6.71		
14	2007/	6	1.00000	.25758E+06
.26456E+06	-.697E+04	-2.71		
15	2007/	7	1.00000	.35565E+06
.35565E+06	-.104E-06	.00		
16	2007/	8	1.00000	.27499E+06
.26456E+06	.104E+05	3.79		
17	2007/	9	1.00000	.24686E+06
.26456E+06	-.177E+05	-7.17		
18	2007/	10	1.00000	.25185E+06
.26456E+06	-.127E+05	-5.05		
19	2007/	11	1.00000	.25155E+06
.26456E+06	-.130E+05	-5.17		
20	2007/	12	1.00000	.15382E+06
.17930E+06	-.255E+05	-16.57		
21	2008/	1	1.00000	.26893E+06
.26456E+06	.437E+04	1.63		
22	2008/	2	1.00000	.25907E+06
.26456E+06	-.549E+04	-2.12		
23	2008/	3	1.00000	.25620E+06
.26456E+06	-.836E+04	-3.26		
24	2008/	4	1.00000	.38575E+06
.38575E+06	-.104E-06	.00		
25	2008/	5	1.00000	.29671E+06
.26456E+06	.322E+05	10.84		

26	2008/ 6	1.00000	.24119E+06
.26456E+06	-.234E+05	-9.69	
27	2008/ 7	1.00000	.37496E+06
.37496E+06	-.104E-06	.00	
28	2008/ 8	1.00000	.26571E+06
.26456E+06	.115E+04	.43	
29	2008/ 9	1.00000	.28099E+06
.26456E+06	.164E+05	5.85	
30	2008/ 10	1.00000	.19173E+06
.20331E+06	-.116E+05	-6.04	
31	2008/ 11	1.00000	.22298E+06
.20331E+06	.197E+05	8.82	
32	2008/ 12	1.00000	.11723E+06
.11806E+06	-830.	-.71	
33	2009/ 1	1.00000	.24451E+06
.20331E+06	.412E+05	16.85	
34	2009/ 2	1.00000	.17629E+06
.20331E+06	-.270E+05	-15.33	
35	2009/ 3	1.00000	.16736E+06
.20331E+06	-.360E+05	-21.48	
36	2009/ 4	1.00000	.21735E+06
.20331E+06	.140E+05	6.46	
37	2009/ 5	1.00000	.16544E+06
.20331E+06	-.379E+05	-22.89	
38	2009/ 6	1.00000	.19349E+06
.20331E+06	-.982E+04	-5.07	
39	2009/ 7	1.00000	.17967E+06
.20331E+06	-.236E+05	-13.16	
40	2009/ 8	1.00000	.16893E+06
.20331E+06	-.344E+05	-20.35	

41	2009/ 9	1.00000	.24540E+06
.20331E+06	.421E+05	17.15	
42	2009/ 10	1.00000	.23410E+06
.20331E+06	.308E+05	13.15	
43	2009/ 11	1.00000	.17520E+06
.20331E+06	-.281E+05	-16.04	
44	2009/ 12	1.00000	.12257E+06
.11806E+06	.451E+04	3.68	
45	2010/ 1	1.00000	.16109E+06
.20331E+06	-.422E+05	-26.21	
46	2010/ 2	1.00000	.20010E+06
.20331E+06	-.321E+04	-1.61	
47	2010/ 3	1.00000	.26225E+06
.20331E+06	.589E+05	22.47	
48	2010/ 4	1.00000	.19685E+06
.20331E+06	-.646E+04	-3.28	
49	2010/ 5	1.00000	.18317E+06
.20331E+06	-.201E+05	-11.00	
50	2010/ 6	1.00000	.23831E+06
.20331E+06	.350E+05	14.69	
51	2010/ 7	1.00000	.19892E+06
.20331E+06	-.439E+04	-2.21	
52	2010/ 8	1.00000	.22458E+06
.20331E+06	.213E+05	9.47	
53	2010/ 9	1.00000	.22085E+06
.20331E+06	.175E+05	7.94	
54	2010/ 10	1.00000	.20390E+06
.20331E+06	588.	.29	

MODEL MEAN ABS PCT ERROR =
.092546
RANDOM WALK MEAN ABS PCT ERROR =
.214357
AVERAGE MEAN ABS PCT ERROR =
.206852

MODEL STAGE: 1 FORE

TIME FORECAST (T)	DATE ACTUAL (IF KNOWN)	LOWER RESIDUAL LIMIT	80% LIMIT	UPPER LIMIT	80% %
55	2010/ 11	.1680E+06	.2386E+06		
		.2033E+06			
56	2010/ 12	.8279E+05	.1533E+06		
		.1181E+06			
57	2011/ 1	.1680E+06	.2386E+06		
		.2033E+06			
58	2011/ 2	.1680E+06	.2386E+06		
		.2033E+06			
	TOTAL	.5869E+06	.8690E+06		
		.7280E+06			

GRAPH KEY

A = AluPE_Total

F = FORECASTS

.11723E+06
.38575E+06
DATE
++++++
++++++
2006/ 5 A
.27097E+06
2006/ 6
A .32573E+06
2006/ 7 .30908E+06
A .28988E+06
2006/ 9 A
.23908E+06
2006/ 10
A .28542E+06
2006/ 11 A
.24411E+06
2006/ 12 A
.20110E+06
2007/ 1 A
.21570E+06
2007/ 2 A
.26720E+06

2007/ 3	A
.25985E+06	
2007/ 4	A
.21080E+06	
2007/ 5	
A	.28359E+06
2007/ 6	A
.25758E+06	
2007/ 7	
A	.35565E+06
2007/ 8	A
.27499E+06	
2007/ 9	A
.24686E+06	
2007/ 10	A
.25185E+06	
2007/ 11	A
.25155E+06	
2007/ 12	A
.15382E+06	
2008/ 1	A
.26893E+06	
2008/ 2	A
.25907E+06	
2008/ 3	A
.25620E+06	
2008/ 4	
A .38575E+06	
2008/ 5	
A	.29671E+06

2008/ 6	A
.24119E+06	
2008/ 7	
A .37496E+06	
2008/ 8	A
.26571E+06	
2008/ 9	
A .28099E+06	
2008/ 10	A
.19173E+06	
2008/ 11	A
.22298E+06	
2008/ 12 A	
.11723E+06	
2009/ 1	A
.24451E+06	
2009/ 2	A
.17629E+06	
2009/ 3	A
.16736E+06	
2009/ 4	A
.21735E+06	
2009/ 5	A
.16544E+06	
2009/ 6	A
.19349E+06	
2009/ 7	A
.17967E+06	
2009/ 8	A
.16893E+06	

2009/ 9	A
.24540E+06	
2009/ 10	A
.23410E+06	
2009/ 11	A
.17520E+06	
2009/ 12 A	
.12257E+06	
2010/ 1	A
.16109E+06	
2010/ 2	A
.20010E+06	
2010/ 3	A
.26225E+06	
2010/ 4	A
.19685E+06	
2010/ 5	A
.18317E+06	
2010/ 6	A
.23831E+06	
2010/ 7	A
.19892E+06	
2010/ 8	A
.22458E+06	
2010/ 9	A
.22085E+06	
2010/ 10	A
.20390E+06	
2010/ 11	F
.20331E+06	

2010/ 12 F

.11806E+06

2011/ 1

F

.20331E+06

2011/ 2

F

.20331E+06

++++++

++++++

THE WORK WAS STARTED AT:

Time=13:51:15

IT IS TIME TO STOP AND ADMIRE THE WORK !

Time=13:51:16